

## Living with Contradiction

### Elana Stein Hain and Leora Batnitzky March 25, 2024

I.	The	Rabbis Discuss Kohelet (Ecclesiastes)	1
	1.	Babylonian Talmud Shabbat 30b	1
II. The Rabbis Discuss Mishlei (Proverbs)			2
	2.	Babylonian Talmud Shabbat 30b (cont.)	2
III. Kohelet (Ecclesiastes) Rabbah		2	
	3.	Kohelet (Ecclesiastes) Rabbah 7:3	2

Dr. Elana Stein Hain is the Rosh Beit Midrash and a senior research fellow at the Shalom Hartman Institute of North America, where she serves as lead faculty, engages in research and curriculum development and consults on the content of lay and professional leadership programs. A widely well-regarded teacher and scholar, Elana is passionate about bringing rabbinic thought into conversation with contemporary life. To this end, she hosts TEXTing a bi-weekly podcast that considers issues relevant to Jewish life through the lens of classical and modern Torah texts; she also teaches Talmud from the Balcony, an occasional learning seminar exposing the big ideas, questions, and issues motivating rabbinic discussions. She is the author of Circumventing the Law: Rabbinic Perspectives on Legal Loopholes and Integrity (Penn Press, 2024) which uses loopholes as a lens for understanding rabbinic views on law and ethics. Elana also contributes to For Heaven's Sake, a bi-weekly podcast with Donniel Hartman and Yossi Klein Halevi, exploring contemporary issues related to Israel and the Jewish world. She earned her doctorate in Religion at Columbia University and is an alumna of the Yeshiva University Graduate Program in Advanced Talmudic Studies (GPATS) as well as the Consortium in Jewish Studies and Legal Theory Graduate Fellowship at Cardozo School of Law. She also served for eight years as a clergy member on the Upper West Side of Manhattan, at both Lincoln Square Synagogue and the Jewish Center, has taught at the Wagner School at NYU, and sits on the board of Sefaria: A Living Library of Jewish Texts.

**Dr. Leora Batnitzky** is a Senior Fellow of the Kogod Research Center at the Shalom Hartman Institute of North America. She is Ronald O. Perelman Professor of Jewish Studies and Professor of Religion at Princeton University, where she has been on the faculty since 1997. She has taught, as a visiting professor, at Tokyo University, Tel Aviv University, Radzyner IDC Law School, and Cardozo Law School and has also been a visiting researcher at NYU Law School and The Hebrew University. She is co-director of the international <u>Center for Bible, Culture, and Modernity</u>, and since 2004 she has served as co-editor of the journal Jewish Studies Quarterly. She is also a member of the American Academy for Jewish Research. Leora received a BA from Barnard College, a BA from The Jewish Theological Seminary, and an MA and PhD from Princeton. Leora's research and teaching interests include modern Jewish thought, philosophy of religion, and legal and political theory.

The Shalom Hartman Institute is a leading center of Jewish thought and education, serving Israel and North America. Our mission is to strengthen Jewish peoplehood, identity, and pluralism; to enhance the Jewish and democratic character of Israel; and to ensure that Judaism is a compelling force for good in the 21st century.

> Shalom Hartman Institute of North America 475 Riverside Drive, Suite 1450 New York, NY 10115 212-268-0300 info@shalomhartman.org | www.shalomhartman.org

#### I. The Rabbis Discuss Kohelet (Ecclesiastes)

#### 1. Babylonian Talmud Shabbat 30b

אָמַר רַב יְהוּדָה בְּרֵיהּ דְּרַב שְׁמוּאֵל בַּר שִׁילַת מִשְׁמֵיהּ דְּרַב : בִּקְשׁוּ חֲכָמִים לְגְנוֹז סֵפֶר קֹהֶלֶת מִפְּנֵי שֶׁדְּבָרָיו סוֹתְרִין זֶה אֶת זֶה. וּמִפְּנֵי מָה לא גְּנָזוּהוּ? — מִפְּנֵי שֶׁתְּחִילֶתוֹ דִּבְרֵי תוֹרָה וְסוֹפוֹ דְּבְרֵי תוֹרָה

Rav Yehuda, son of Rav Shmuel bar Sheilat, said in the name of Rav: The Sages sought to suppress the book of Ecclesiastes and declare it apocryphal because its statements contradict each other. And why did they not suppress it? Because its beginning consists of matters of Torah and its end consists of matters of Torah.

ַתְּחִילָתוֹ דְּבְרֵי תוֹרָה, דְּכְתִיב : יימַה יִּתְרוֹן לָאָדָם בְּכָל עֲמָלוֹ שֶׁיַּעֲמוֹל תַּחַת הַשְּׁמֶשׁיי — וְאָמְרִי דְּבֵי רַבִּי יַיַּאי תַּחַת הַשֶּׁמֶשׁ הוּא דְאֵין לוֹ. קוֹדֶם שֶׁמֶשׁ — יֵשׁ לוֹ. סוֹפוֹ דִּבְרֵי תוֹרָה, דְּכְתִיב : ייסוֹף דָּבָר הַכּּל נִשְׁמָע אֶת הָאֱ-לֹהִים יְרָא וְאֶת מִצְוֹתִיו שְׁמוֹר כִּי זֶה כָּל הָאָדָםיי ...

Its beginning consists of matters of Torah, as it is written: "What profit has a person of all their labor which they labor under the sun?" (Ecclesiastes 1:3), and the Sages of the school of Rabbi Yannai said: By inference: Under the sun is where a person has no profit from their labor; however, before the sun, a person does have profit.

Its ending consists of matters of Torah, as it is written: "The end of the matter, all having been heard: Fear God, and keep God's mitzvot; for this is the sum of a human being." (Ecclesiastes 12:13)...

וּמַאי ״דְּבָרָיו סוֹתְרִין זֶה אֶת זֶה״ִי כְּתִיב: ״טוֹב כַּעַס מִשְׂחוֹק״, וּכְתִיב ״לִשְׂחוֹק אָמַרְתִּי מְהוֹלָל״ִי כְּתִיב ״וְשְׁבַּחְתִּי אֲנִי אֶת הַשִּׁמְחָה״, וּכְתִיב ״וּלְשִׁמְחָה מַה זֹּה עוֹשָׂה! לָא קַשְׁיָא ״טוֹב כַּעַס מִשְׁחוֹק״: טוֹב כַּעַס שָׁכּוֹעֵס הַקָּדוֹש בָּרוּדְ הוּא עַל הַצַּדִּיקִים בָּעוֹלָם הַזֶּה, מִשְׁחוֹק שֶׁמְשַׁחֵק הַקָּדוֹש בָּרוּדְ הוּא עַל הָרְשָׁעִים בָּעוֹלָם הַזֶּה. וְיילִשְׁחוֹק אָמַרְתִּי מְהוֹלָל״ – זֶה שִׁמְשַׁחֵק הַקָּדוֹש בָּרוּדְ הוּא עַל הַרְשָׁעִים בָּעוֹלָם הַזֶּה.

-How do verses within Kohelet contradict each other? It is written: "Vexation is better than laughter" (Ecclesiastes 7:3), and it is written: "I said of laughter: It is praiseworthy" (Ecclesiastes 2:2).

Likewise in one verse it is written: "So I commended mirth." (Ecclesiastes 8:15), and in another verse it is written: "And of mirth: What does it accomplish?" (Ecclesiastes 2:2).

This is not difficult, as the contradiction can be resolved. "Vexation is better than laughter" means: The vexation of God toward the righteous in this world is preferable to the laughter which God laughs with the wicked in this world by showering them with goodness. "I said of laughter: It is praiseworthy," means that is the laughter which God laughs with the righteous in the World-to-Come.

ײַןְשָׁבַּחְתִּי אֲנִי אֶנִי אֶת הַשִּׂמְחָה״ — שִׂמְחָה שֶׁל מִצְוָה. ״וּלְשִׂמְחָה מַה זּה עוֹשָׂה״ — זוֹ שִׂמְחָה שָׁאֵינָה שֶׁל מִצְוָה. לְלַמֶּדְדְּ שָׁאֵין שְׁכִינָה שוֹרָה לא מִתּוֹדְ עַצְבוּת וְלא מִתּוֹדְ עַצְלוּת וְלא מ שְׁחוֹק וְלא מִתּוֹדְ קַלוּת ראש וְלא מִתּוֹדְ שִׁיחָה וְלא מִתּוֹדְ דְּבָרִים בְּטֵלִים, אֶלָּא מִתּוֹדְ דְּבַר שִׁמְחָה שֶׁל מִצְוָה ...

Similarly, "So I commended mirth," that is the joy of a mitzva. "And of mirth: What does it accomplish?" that is joy that is not the joy of a mitzva. This teaches that the Divine Presence rests upon an individual not from an atmosphere of sadness, laziness, laughter, frivolity, idle conversation,\_idle chatter, but rather from an atmosphere imbued with the joy of a mitzva...

#### II. The Rabbis Discuss Mishlei (Proverbs)

#### 2. Babylonian Talmud Shabbat 30b (cont.)

ַּוְאַף סַפֶּר מִשְׁלֵי בִּקְּשׁוּ לִגְנוֹז שֶׁהָיוּ דְּבָרָיו סוֹתְרִין זֶה אֶת זֶה. וּמִפְּנֵי מָה לֹא גְּנָזוּהוּיּ אָמְרִי: סַפֶּר קֹהֶלֶת לָאו עַיְינִינַן וְאַשְׁפְחִינַן טַעְמָאי הָכָא נָמֵי לִיעַיֵּין. וּמַאי דְּבָרָיו סוֹתְרִים זֶה אֶת זֶהי — פְתִיב ״אַל תַּעַן פְסִיל פְּאוּלְתּוֹ״, וּכְתִיב : ״עֲנֵה כְסִיל פְאוּלְתּוֹ״. לָא קַשְׁיָא : הָא בְּדְבְרֵי תוֹרָה, הָא בְּמִילֵי דְעָלְמָא.

And the Sages sought to suppress the book of Proverbs as well because its statements contradict each other. And why did they not suppress it? They said: In the case of the book of Ecclesiastes, didn't we analyze it and find an explanation that its statements were not contradictory? Here too, let us analyze it. In what way do its statements contradict each other? On the one hand, it is written: "Answer not a fool according to his folly, lest you also be like him" (Proverbs 26:4), and on the other hand, it is written: "Answer a fool according to his folly, lest he be wise in his own eyes" (Proverbs 26:5).

This is not difficult, as this, where one should answer a fool, is referring to a case where the fool is making claims about Torah matters; whereas that, where one should not answer him, is referring to a case where the fool is making claims about mundane matters.

#### III. Kohelet (Ecclesiastes) Rabbah

#### 3. Kohelet (Ecclesiastes) Rabbah 7:3

טוֹב כַּעַס מִשְּׁחוֹק, אָמַר שְׁלֹמֹה אָלּוּ כָּעַס אַבָּא עַל אֲדוֹנִיָּה קַמְעָא, טוֹב הָיָה לוֹ מִשְּׁחוֹק שָׁשְׁחָקָה עָלָיו מִדַּת הַדִּיו, לָמָה, כִּי בְרֹעַ פָּנִים יִיטַב לֵב, אָלּוּ הֵרַע לוֹ פָּנִים הֶבִיאוֹ לְמוּטָב, אֶלָא, (מלכים א א, ו) : יְלָא עֲצָבוֹ אָבִיו מִיָּמִיו. יְכֵן אַמְנוֹן, טוֹב הָיָה לוֹ אִם כַּעַס דָּוִד אַבָּא עַל אַמְנוֹן קַמְעָא, מִשְׁחוֹק שֶׁשְׁחֵקָה עָלָיו מִדַּת הַדִּין, יְלָמָה, כִּי בְרֹעַ פָּנִים יִיטַב לֵב, אָלוּ אַם כָּעַס דָּוִד ב יג, לב) : כִּי אַמְנוֹן לְבַדּוֹ מַת. דָּבָר אַחֵר, טוֹב כַּעַס מִשְׁחוֹק, טוֹב הָיָה אָלּוּ כָּעַס הַקָּדוֹש הוּא עַל דּוֹר הַמַּבּוּל, מִשְּׂחוֹק שֶׁשָּׂחֲקָה עַלֵיהֶם מִדַּת הַדִּין, שֶׁנֶּאֱמַר (איוב כא, ח) : זַרְעָם נָכוֹן לִפְנֵיהֶם. דָּבָר אַחֵר, טוֹב כַּעַס מִשְׂחוֹק, טוֹב שֶׁהָיָה הַקָּדוֹשׁ בָּרוּדְ הוּא כּוֹעֵס עַל הַסְדוֹמִים מִשְּׁחוֹק שֵׁשָּׁחֵקָה עַלֵיהֵם מִדַּת הַדִּין, שֵׁנֵּאֵמַר (איוב כא, ח) : בָּתֵּיהֵם שָׁלוֹם מִפָּחַד וגו.׳

# "Anger is better than laughter, as with a cross countenance the heart will be bettered" (Ecclesiastes 7:3).

"Anger is better than laughter." Solomon said: Had [my] father been a little angry at Adoniya, it would have been better for him than the laughter with which the attribute of justice mocked him. Why? It is because "with a cross countenance the heart will be bettered." Had he shown him a cross countenance, he would have caused him to better his ways. Instead, "his father had never aggrieved him" (I Kings 1:6). Likewise regarding Amnon, had David his father been a little angry with him, it would have been better for him than the laughter with which the attribute of justice mocked him. Why? It is because "with a cross countenance the heart will be bettered." Instead, "Amnon alone is dead" (II Samuel 13:32).

Another matter, "anger is better than laughter" – had the Holy One blessed be He been angry at the generation of the flood, it would have been better than the laughter with which the attribute of justice mocked them, as it is stated: "Their offspring are established before them" (Job 21:8). Another matter, "anger is better than laughter" – had the Holy One blessed be He been angry at the Sodomites, it would have been better than the laughter with which the attribute of justice mocked them, as it is stated: "Their offspring are established before them" (Job 21:8).